## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

STANDARD QUIMICA DE VENEZUELA, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

COURT RTO RICO

Case Number: 96-2548 (DRD)

CENTRAL HISPANO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al., Defendants.

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court are the Docket #'s noted below. The Court rules as follows:

Dckt #	Date:	Ruling	<u>Title</u>
258	07/15/02	GRANTED	Issuance of Letter Rogatory
261	07/26/02 Plaintiffs h missed).	<b>GRANTED</b> ave the new deadline until July	<b>To Clarify Order</b> 30, 2002, to file their Opposition (which was
263	<b>07/30/02</b> The firm of	GRANTED  f Reichard & Escalera continue	To Withdraw to represent the Plaintiffs.
264	07/30/02 The new-da	GRANTED / MOOT atte requested has already passed	To Extend Time i.
266	<b>08/06/02</b> The new-date	GRANTED / MOOT ate requested has already passed	To Extend Time i.
269	08/14/02	DENIED / MOOT	To Accept Statement of Undisputed Facts as Admitted / Unopposed and to Deny any Future Extension Requests
	Subsequent deadline extensions have already been granted, and the opposition has finally been filed.		
_, _	0 <b>8/14/02</b> The new-date	GRANTED / MOOT e requested has already passed.	To Extend Time
	0 <b>8/14/02</b> The new-date	GRANTED / MOOT e requested has already passed.	To Extend Time

## 273 08/16/02 GRANTED Leave to Take Deposition

The Plaintiffs took it upon themselves to assume a motion for time extension to oppose would be granted, and then even missed the new date they themselves requested for the new deadline. To date no opposition has been filed. The deposition of Javier Lozano, who was named as a last-minute unexpected witness for the Plaintiffs, is to be taken within the next thirty (30) days. Plaintiffs' failure to produce him for deposition within this time period will result in the exclusion of his testimony. No time extensions shall be granted.

- 274 08/16/02 GRANTED Leave to Serve Interrogatories
  Plaintiffs have failed to comply with the Court's April 17, 2002, Order (Docket # 215);
  Plaintiffs' late reply to Defendants' compliance with the Order was inadequate. The interrogatories are permitted, and are to be answered forthwith in accordance with applicable terms set by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No extensions shall be granted.
- 278 08/21/02 GRANTED / MOOT Leave to File Reply to Opposition
  The document has been filed and reviewed.
- 279 08/26/02 GRANTED / MOOT To Extend Time

  The new-date requested has already passed, and the matter ruled on (Docket #269).
- 280 08/26/02 GRANTED / MOOT To Extend Time

  The new-date requested has already passed, and the matter ruled on (Docket #273).
- 281 08/26/02 GRANTED / MOOT To Extend Time

  The new-date requested has already passed, and the matter ruled on (Docket #274).
- 285 09/03/02 DENIED / MOOT Leave to Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition
  The matter is resolved through the decision in Docket 269 above.
- 09/03/02 286 **GRANTED in PART Time Extension for Certified Translations** Plaintiffs' Motion in Compliance with Court Order regarding the Spanish attorney-client privilege (Docket # 267) is in direct violation of Local Rule 108.1, which provides that all documents not in the English language shall be accompanied by an English translation, at the time of presentation or filing. Id. (emphasis added). Recently the First Circuit Court of Appeals issued an opinion strictly interpreting and applying Local Rule 108.1. See: United States v. Rivera-Rosario, F.3d (1st Cir. 2002), 2002 WL 1772934. In admonishing the District Court, the First Circuit stated: "With a disturbing frequency, [the] district court [] in Puerto Rico [has] allowed parties to offer briefs, documents, and testimony in Spanish without translation. Though we recognize that most jurors, and even judges, in Puerto Rico may be more comfortable speaking in Spanish than in English, district courts must be faithfully committed to the English language requirement. If not, the District of Puerto Rico risks disassociating itself from the rest of the federal judiciary." Id. at \*16. See

also: Ramos-Baez v. Bassolo-Lopez, 240 F.3d 92 (1st Cir. 2001). The Clerk of the Court should not even have accepted Plaintiffs' Motion in Compliance as it contained untranslated documents. Nevertheless, the Court will be lenient this one last time, allowing Plaintiffs ten (10) calendar days to submit the translations, or Docket # 267 will be stricken from the record. The Court forewarns all parties that strict compliance with Local Rule 108.1, in accordance with Rivera-Rosario, shall be expected in this case, and future cases and other judicial matters.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 29, 2002.

DANIEL R. DOMINGUEZ

U.S. District Judge

P:\RDSC\Cases\1996-2548\Orders List 5.wpd